(4)

(2) cf 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
WRIT PETITION (S) No. 7027 /2006

PETITIONER Ashok Kumar Patel S/o Ganesh Ram Patel Aged about 38 years Asst. Teacher Govt. Primary School Kunjedabari Block Pussore Distt. Raigarh [C.G.]

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattigarh and others

   Through the Secretary Department of School Education/T.W.D. D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur [C.G.]

2. Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department C.G. Govt. Raipur [C.G.]

3. Asst. Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department Distt. Raigarh [C.G.]

4. Dist. Education Officer Distt. Raigarh [C.G.]

5. Block Education Officer Block Pussor Distt. Raigarh [C.G.]

P.R. No. 7163/06
Presented by S. D.R. Patel
dated 7/12/06

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 00723/96 03/12 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED COPY 7/12/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS

(23)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक .....WP(S) 7027..... सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15.12.2006 Shri Sanjay Patel, Advocate for the Petitioners. Shri Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is heard finally. Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S.) 7018/2006 in open Court, passed separately. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Babli

P.T.O.

(24)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 7018 of 2006

Petitioner : Ramesh Kumar Tiwari

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (S) No. 7021 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Rejina Toppo

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (S) No. 7022 of 2006

Petitioner : Arvind Kumar Patel

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (S) No. 7023 of 2006

Petitioner : Nityanand Patel

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (S) No. 7024 of 2006

Petitioner : Ku. Marry Tigga

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (S) No. 7025 of 2006

Petitioner : Babulal Naik

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (S) No. 7026 of 2006

Petitioner : Bal Mukund Chaudhary

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (S) No. 7027 of 2006

Petitioner : Ashok Kumar Patel

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

And

W. P. (S) No. 7028 of 2006

Petitioner : Aanganlal Chouhan

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Shri Sanjay Patel, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 15th day of December, 2006)

1. The petitioners are working as Assistant Teachers/Upper Division Teachers in the government schools. In the course of their services as Assistant Teachers/Upper Division Teachers, the petitioners have obtained B.Ed./B.T.I. examination certificates on their own expenses before 1.3.1999.

2. Writ Petition (S) No.s 7018/2006, 7021/2006, 7022/2006, 7023/2006, 7024/2006, 7025/2006, 7026/2006, 7027/2006 and 7028/2006 are being disposed of by this common order as the facts and question of law involved in these petitions are common.

3. According to learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}. This Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), came to the conclusion that the teachers, who had obtained B. Ed./B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses, are covered by the circular dated 24.12.98 (Annexure P/7) issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.

4. Further in the matter of Smt. Manorama Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. and others { 2000 (1) M.P.L.S.R. 136 } the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench, while considering the circular

dated 1.3.1999 wherein, it is provided that the Assistant Teachers, who have acquired B. Ed. degree at their own cost between 23.10.1964 and 7.5.1973 shall be entitled to two advance increments, relied on decision in the case of Rakesh Kumar Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. & others passed in O.A. No. 1632/99, decided on 12.10.1999 wherein it is observed that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively. Thus, a teacher, may be Assistant Teacher, who has obtained B. Ed. degree before 1.3.1999 is entitled to two advance increments.

5. It is pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that the then State of Madhya Pradesh had filed a Special Leave Petition against the orders passed by the Tribunal and the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed Special Leave Petition No. 1999/2000 filed against the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, the direction to the effect that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively, passed by the Tribunal, becomes final and the same shall be applicable to all the Assistant Teachers who have obtained B. Ed./B.T.I. examination certificate before 1.3.1999. It is further substantiated by a circular dated 17.10.2003 issued by the present State of Madhya Pradesh wherein the circular clearly states about the decision of the Supreme Court.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case are squarely covered by decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concedes and agrees with the averment made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 1.3.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

8. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected matters.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Babli